Uniquely *k*-determined permutations

Sergey Kitaev Reykjavík University

Joint work with

Sergey Avgustinovich Sobolev Institute of Mathematics

Uniquely *k*-determined permutations

Sergey Vladimirsson (Kitaev) Reykjavík University

Joint work with

Sergey Vladimirsson (Avgustinovich) Sobolev Institute of Mathematics Permutation 253641 contains two occurrences of the consecutive pattern 132: 253164 and 253641

Permutation 253641 contains two occurrences of the consecutive pattern 132: 253164 and 253641

Basic question: How many of n-permutations contain k occurrences of a given consecutive pattern? In particular, how many permutations avoid a given pattern. Permutation 253641 contains two occurrences of the consecutive pattern 132: 253164 and 253641

Basic question: How many of n-permutations contain k occurrences of a given consecutive pattern? In particular, how many permutations avoid a given pattern.

More general question: Find joint distribution of patterns from a given set of consecutive patterns.

Approaches to study consecutive patterns:

- 1. Direct combinatorial arguments;
- 2. Method of inclusion-exclusion;

. . .

- 3. Tree representations of permutations;
- 4. Spectral theory of integral operators on $L^2([0,1]^k)$;

n. Considering the graph of patterns overlaps.

- 1. Direct combinatorial argument: $A_n(123, 321, 132) = (n - 1)!! + (n - 2)!!$ (SK)
- 2. Method of inclusion-exclusion: Generating function for $A_n(12543)$ is $\left(1 - x + \sum_{i \ge 1} \frac{(-1)^{i+1} x^{4i+1}}{(4i+1)!} \prod_{j=2}^{i} {4j-2 \choose 2} \right)^{-1}$ (SK)
- 3. Tree representations of permutations: Bivariate GF for distribution of 132 is $(1 \int_0^z \exp((u-1)t^2/2)dt)^{-1}$ (Elizalde, Noy)
- 4. Spectral theory of integral operators on $L^2([0,1]^k)$:

$$\frac{A_n(213)}{n!} = \lambda_0^{n+1} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\lambda_0^2}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^n\right)$$

where $\lambda_0 = 0.7839769312...$ (Ehrenborg, SK, Perry)

The de Bruijn graphs for the alphabet $A = \{0, 1\}$ and n = 2, 3:



The de Bruijn graphs for the alphabet $A = \{0, 1\}$ and n = 2, 3:



Graph of patterns overlaps: permutations instead of binary words.

For example, $2\underline{314} \rightarrow \underline{213}4$ is an arc in a graph of patterns overlaps, since 314 is order isomorphic to 213.

 \mathcal{P}_k denotes the graph of pattern overlaps built on k-permutations.

For example, $2\underline{314} \rightarrow \underline{213}4$ is an arc in a graph of patterns overlaps, since 314 is order isomorphic to 213.

 \mathcal{P}_k denotes the graph of pattern overlaps built on k-permutations.

Observation: For any *n*-permutation, there is a (unique) path in \mathcal{P}_k of length n - k + 1 corresponding to it (assuming $n \ge k$).

Example: k = 3; to 13542 there corresponds the path 123 \rightarrow 132 \rightarrow 321 in \mathcal{P}_3 .

For example, $2\underline{314} \rightarrow \underline{213}4$ is an arc in a graph of patterns overlaps, since 314 is order isomorphic to 213.

 \mathcal{P}_k denotes the graph of pattern overlaps built on k-permutations.

Observation: For any *n*-permutation, there is a (unique) path in \mathcal{P}_k of length n - k + 1 corresponding to it (assuming $n \ge k$).

Example: k = 3; to 13542 there corresponds the path 123 \rightarrow 132 \rightarrow 321 in \mathcal{P}_3 .

Here a verbal description of our approach comes ...

A complication with the approach: a permutation don't need to be reconstructible uniquely from the path corresponding to it.

Example: 13542 has the same path in \mathcal{P}_3 corresponding to it as 23541 and 12543.

A complication with the approach: a permutation doesn't need to be reconstructible uniquely from the path corresponding to it.

Example: 13542 has the same path in \mathcal{P}_3 corresponding to it as 23541 and 12543.

Uniquely k-determined permutations are those that can be reconstructed uniquely from the path corresponding to them.

Example: 12...*n* is uniquely *k*-determined for any $k \ge 2$; no *n*-permutation, $n \ge 2$, is uniquely 1-determined; each *n*-permutation is uniquely *n*-determined.

A few questions to ask:

- 1. Given a permutation, is it uniquely *k*-determined?
- 2. How many uniquely *k*-determined permutations are there? Is the generating function for the number of these permutations rational?
- 3. Suppose k is fixed; does there exist a finite set of prohibitions describing the uniquely k-determined permutations?
- 4. What is the structure of the uniquely *k*-determined permutations?

First criterion on unique k-determinability

Suppose $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_n$ is a permutation and i < j. The distance $d_{\pi}(\pi_i, \pi_j) = d_{\pi}(\pi_j, \pi_i)$ between π_i and π_j is j - i. For example, $d_{253164}(3, 6) = d_{253164}(6, 3) = 2$.

First criterion on unique k-determinability

Suppose $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_n$ is a permutation and i < j. The distance $d_{\pi}(\pi_i, \pi_j) = d_{\pi}(\pi_j, \pi_i)$ between π_i and π_j is j - i. For example, $d_{253164}(3, 6) = d_{253164}(6, 3) = 2$.

Theorem. An *n*-permutation π is uniquely *k*-determined if and only if for each $1 \leq x < n$, the distance $d_{\pi}(x, x + 1) \leq k - 1$.

First criterion on unique k-determinability

Suppose $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_n$ is a permutation and i < j. The distance $d_{\pi}(\pi_i, \pi_j) = d_{\pi}(\pi_j, \pi_i)$ between π_i and π_j is j - i. For example, $d_{253164}(3, 6) = d_{253164}(6, 3) = 2$.

Theorem. An *n*-permutation π is uniquely *k*-determined if and only if for each $1 \leq x < n$, the distance $d_{\pi}(x, x + 1) \leq k - 1$.

Coming back to $1\underline{3}54\underline{2}$ we see why it isn't uniquely 3-determined: $d_{13542}(2,3) = 3 = k$.

Second criterion on unique k-determinability

 $V = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and M is a subset of V. A path-scheme P(n, M) is a graph G = (V, E), where the edge set E is $\{(x, y) \mid |x-y| \in M\}$. For example, $P(6, \{2, 4\})$ is



Second criterion on unique k-determinability

 $V = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and M is a subset of V. A path-scheme P(n, M) is a graph G = (V, E), where the edge set E is $\{(x, y) \mid |x-y| \in M\}$. For example, $P(6, \{2, 4\})$ is



Let $\mathcal{G}_{k,n} = P(n, \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\})$, where $k \leq n$. Clearly, $\mathcal{G}_{k,n}$ is a subgraph of $\mathcal{G}_{n,n}$.

Second criterion on unique k-determinability

 $V = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and M is a subset of V. A path-scheme P(n, M) is a graph G = (V, E), where the edge set E is $\{(x, y) \mid |x-y| \in M\}$. For example, $P(6, \{2, 4\})$ is



Let $\mathcal{G}_{k,n} = P(n, \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\})$, where $k \leq n$. Clearly, $\mathcal{G}_{k,n}$ is a subgraph of $\mathcal{G}_{n,n}$.

Theorem. Let Φ be a map that sends a uniquely k-determined *n*-permutation π to the directed hamiltonian path in $\mathcal{G}_{n,n}$ corresponding to π^{-1} . Φ is a bijection between the set of all uniquely k-determined *n*-permutations and the set of all directed hamiltonian paths in $\mathcal{G}_{k,n}$. A quick checking of whether an *n*-permutation π is uniquely *k*-determined or not: consider the n-1 differences of the adjacent elements in π^{-1} to see whether at least one of those differences exceeds k-1 or not.

The number of uniquely k-determined n-permutations, $n \ge 1$:

k = 2	1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2,
k = 3	$1, 2, 6, 12, 20, 34, 56, 88, 136, \ldots$
k = 4	$1, \ 2, \ 6, \ 24, \ 72, \ 180, \ 428, \ 1042, \ 2512, \ldots$
k = 5	$1, \ 2, \ 6, \ 24, \ 120, \ 480, \ 1632, \ 5124, \ 15860, \ldots$
k = 6	$1,\ 2,\ 6,\ 24,\ 120,\ 720,\ 3600,\ 15600,\ 61872,\ldots$
k = 7	$1,\ 2,\ 6,\ 24,\ 120,\ 720,\ 5040,\ 30240,\ 159840,\ldots$
k = 8	$1, 2, 6, 24, 120, 720, 5040, 40320, 282240, \ldots$

The sequence corresponding to the case k = 3 appears in Sloane, where we learn that the inverses to the uniquely 3-determined permutations are called key permutations. **Theorem.** We have, for the number $A_{k,n}$ of uniquely *k*-determined *n*-permutations,

$$2((k-1)!)^{\lfloor n/k \rfloor} < A_{k,n} < 2(2(k-1))^n.$$

Prohibitions giving uniquely *k*-determined permutations

Let |X| be the number of elements in X.

The set of uniquely k-determined n-permutations can be described by prohibiting patterns xX(x + 1) and (x + 1)Xx, where X is a permutation on $\{1, 2, ..., |X| + 2\} - \{x, x + 1\}$, $|X| \ge k - 1$, and $1 \le x < n$.

We collect all such patterns in $\mathcal{L}_{k,n}$; also, let $\mathcal{L}_k = \bigcup_{n \ge 0} \mathcal{L}_{k,n}$.

Prohibitions giving uniquely *k*-determined permutations

A prohibited pattern X = aYb from \mathcal{L}_k , where a and b are some consecutive elements, is called irreducible if the patterns of Yband aY are not prohibited, that is, the patterns of Yb and aY are uniquely k-determined permutations.

Let \mathcal{L}_k consists only of irreducible prohibited patterns.

Prohibitions giving uniquely *k*-determined permutations

Theorem. Suppose k is fixed. The number of (irreducible) prohibitions in \mathcal{L}_k is finite. Moreover, the longest prohibited patterns in \mathcal{L}_k are of length 2k - 1.

Here it comes a verbal description of how we use the theorem above and the graph of patterns overlaps \mathcal{P}_{2k-1} to apply the transfer matrix method ...

Theorem. The generating function $A_k(x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} A_{k,n} x^n$ for the number of uniquely k-determined permutations is rational.

An *n*-permutation is crucial if it is uniquely *k*-determined, but adjoining any letter to the right of it, and thus creating an (n+1)permutation, leads to a non-uniquely *k*-determined permutation. An *n*-permutation is crucial if it is uniquely *k*-determined, but adjoining any letter to the right of it, and thus creating an (n+1)permutation, leads to a non-uniquely *k*-determined permutation.

Theorem. There are no crucial permutations.

The case
$$k = 3$$

Suppose w' denotes the complement to an *n*-permutation w. All uniquely 3-determined 4-permutations:

$$a = 1234 \quad a' = 4321$$

$$b = 1324 \quad b' = 4231$$

$$c = 1243 \quad c' = 4312$$

$$d = 3421 \quad d' = 2134$$

$$e = 1423 \quad e' = 4132$$

$$f = 3241 \quad f' = 2314$$





$$A_{3}(x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} A_{3,n} x^{n} = \frac{1 - 2x + 2x^{2} + x^{3} - x^{5} + x^{6}}{(1 - x - x^{3})(1 - x)^{2}}.$$

Any *n*-permutation is uniquely *n*-determined, whereas for $n \ge 2$ no *n*-permutation is uniquely 1-determined. Moreover, for any $n \ge 2$ there are exactly two uniquely 2-determined permutations, namely the monotone permutations.

Index $IR(\pi)$ of reconstructibility is the minimal integer k such that the permutation π is uniquely k-determined.

Problem 1. Describe the distribution of $IR(\pi)$ among all *n*-permutations.

Problem 2. Study the set of uniquely k-determined permutations in the case when a set of nodes is removed from \mathcal{P}_k , that is, when some of patterns of length k are prohibited.

An *n*-permutation π is *m*-*k*-determined, $m, k \ge 1$, if there are exactly *m* (different) *n*-permutations having the same path in \mathcal{P}_k as π has. In particular, the uniquely *k*-determined permutations correspond to the case m = 1.

Problem 3. Find the number of *m*-*k*-determined *n*-permutations.

An *n*-permutation π is *m*-*k*-determined, $m, k \ge 1$, if there are exactly *m* (different) *n*-permutations having the same path in \mathcal{P}_k as π has.

Problem 3. Find the number of *m*-*k*-determined *n*-permutations.

Problem 3 is directly related to finding the number of linear extensions of a poset. Indeed, to any path w in \mathcal{P}_k there naturally corresponds a poset \mathcal{W} . In particular, any factor of length k in w consists of comparable to each other elements in \mathcal{W} . If k = 3and w = 134265 (7-3-determined) then \mathcal{W} is the following poset:



Recall that \mathcal{L}_k is a set of irreducible prohibited patterns giving all uniquely k-determined permutations.

Problem 4. Describe the structure of \mathcal{L}_k . Is there a nice way to generate \mathcal{L}_k ? How many elements does \mathcal{L}_k have?

Thank you for your attention!

Questions?